G R S IX 5]

Previous references

1920-21

(Mm 1)

File No 38-20

Serial Nos 4447

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 4447

Part B for April 1921

SUBJECT

Costs & Mess charges Tenkasi

Deputed

8(44) From the Deputy Comm Tenk 2129 1923 1921
Requesting sanction for the Payment
of arrears Remuneration to the Patel and
Shanbhogue for Land Collection work in
the Chokkanayakkanahalli Munsipality.

8(47) To the Deputy Comm
R 11749 dt 23-4-21

WD 2238—GPB—20 000—14 6 20"

Mr. Abdul Rahiman Sanctions the request.
21.4.21 Cle
Customs Clerk 30/4/21

A Bill drawn by the Sanitary Inspector Municipal – audit objection

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 29 of 1942 | [App F III—2

Serial No	
9	Letter No A.6.C–38889/40–4–9165 dated 19.12.42 from the Dy. Comr., Jaffna requesting sanction to write off audit objection to the T.A. of Rs 25–4–0 drawn by the Sanitary Inspr., Municipal in connection with the Second Court cases filed by the Municipal Council and to recover the sum of Rs 25–4–0 from the person who drawn the T.A. in excess.
	2/ 24 is stated that several cases were filed by the Municipal Council in the Second Magistrate's Court, Jaffna in connection with certain Abkari cases and the Sanitary Inspector was deputed to attend the Court. In this connection the Sanitary Inspector has drawn T.A. amounting to Rs 2–14–0. The audit department have taken objection for having drawn this amount without proper authority. Out of this amount, a sum of Rs 25–4–0 is said to have been drawn in excess by the Sanitary Inspector.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 04155—GPB—55 000—23 10 41

7 A drawn by the said Sanitary Inspector Kurnegal Town
ship. Sundry objections

14 File No 29 of 1942 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

the Town Council had formulated to Sanitary Inspector to attend the Court on the days of hearing
2) For the reasons explained in the P & W, the Dy Comr, Tumkur requested Government to move the undersigned to draw up the 7 A of Rs 1869-11-6 drawn by the Sanitary Inspector and to the recovery of Rs 25-4-0 from him being drawn in excess.

B

Submitted for orders.

26 IV 20/11/42

3) From the records, it is seen that the Kurnegal Town Board had given general permission to the Sanitary Inspector to attend the Court at Tumkur for the conduct of the ordinary cases, by their resolution dated 1/10/37 the President was also authorised on the very day by another resolution to pursue cases under the provisions of Section 170 of the Town Police Act of 1933 the then President of the Kurnegal Town Board Sri Ramappa Thimmappa now I Dy Tahsildar - has stated in his explanation

Flap A

Serial No

Flag B

on [illegible] that, in the interests of municipal administration & the revenue, he had authorised the Sanity Inspector who was the Complainant in all these cases to attend the court on the days of hearing, that he had scrutinized the 7 a bills in question before passing them, & that the court refused to give expenses of attendance and that the M.O.H. has endorsed his action. Having regard to the above facts, the journeys undertaken by the Sanity Inspector have to be treated as authorised, the argument being that the sanction of the D.C. should have been sought thereto then & there. This is a technical defect pointed out by the Audit Dept.

4.) In regard to the fees of 7/- a allowed to the Sanity Inspector in accordance with the decision of the M.O.H. dated 30/3/38, it has to be pointed out that it has been arbitrarily fixed, whereas the rate should be in accordance with those prescribed under the Hygiene Service Regulations, as being a debt for Special Service. It is seen that it has been so claimed & admitted in the case of expert journeys undertaken by [illegible] drawn @ 4/9/41 - 21 all. Hence explanation will be called for as to what he would be entitled to for all these journeys

NOTE—Contn Sheet

W D 0415a—GPB— 5 000—9/3 10 41

4) I A drawn by the Secretary Hospital, Nuwara Eliya — under objection

File No 2955/42 of 194 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

accts to the premises of the M.O.H. An enquiry will also be made if the victim Mudaliar of the municipal peon who also paid at the rate fixed in the M.C. report dated 30.9.38. Pl. copy of letter filed accordingly

for 20/11/42

5) Draft submitted for appl

Law Secy. 23/11/42

for 24/11/42

SECY. LAW DEPT. 1942 GOVT. CEYLON

6) Case 24-11-42

7) Issue

for 24/11/42

Received by cover, Number Dated 14

No 40-S-367 of 27-11-42

Calls for further particulars in the matter from the A.D.C. Trincomalee

No A.C. 3889/40-41 of 25-1-43. Furnishes the information called for.

Cancelled 28/11/42

8) Submitted refer to para 4 supra

9) The D.C. states that the Sanitary Inspector would be entitled to a T.A. of Rs. 228-3-0 as against Rs. 214-15-6 drawn by him, leaving a balance of Rs. 16-4-0 still due to him

T A drawn by the Savy Dept. Kanigal Town
M G — Amt objection

Continuation Sheet M File No / Current No 77 of 42 4461 Page

since the payments made by him are considered to have been treated as authorised the above balance of Rs 16-2-0 will have to be paid to him for ...

10) As regards the Electric Installation and Pumps, the dates stated by them have been fixed in consultation with C.E. as 30-9-38 which is not in accordance with the provisions under M.S.R

29/11 The journeys undertaken by the Sanitary Inspector to the Kanigal to conduct the victim case at Tumpum in pursuance of the resolution of the Council & the order of the President — who has also admitted the same have to be treated as authorised ones & the Sanitary Inspector allowed the T.A admissible under the provisions of the M.S.R The opinion of the Committee will be also obtained in regard to the rates of T.A to be allowed in the case, if appeal

29/11/43

12 Dec

13) Forwarded with compliments to the Committee for the kind perusal of Mysore the town of Mysore on the point referred to at 1 supra

30/11/43 Sanitary Inspector for Secretary to Govt Local Admn

[illegible] 1/12/43

C & HS — COLLECTS OFF — 465/Spl

H O No 73/CLA B 1/12/43

No 507/sp dated 13-3-43

U O No.379/C-LAD dated 9-3-43.

Returned with Compliments.-

2. The several objections raised in the Audit and Inspection note on the accounts of the Municipality for 1937-38 to 39-40 are as follows.-

a) The payment of T A of Rs 214-15-6 to the Sanitary Inspector has not been sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner as required in Rule 27 B. of M.A.M. as the journeys performed by him i e., from Kunigal to Tumkur and back, were beyond the limits of the Municipality

b) The Court certificates were not produced.

c) The journeys were frequent A sum of Rs.36-8-0 could have been sanctioned if he had stayed at Tumkur from the 12th to 29th April 38 instead of journeying 10 times between Kunigal and Tumkur between these dates (Inspection Note dated 18-1-39).

d) The Sanitary Inspector has drawn a sum of Rs 28-2-0 towards T.A in excess of the amount fixed by the Municipal Council on 30-9-38 (Audit Note for 39-40)

3 Action may be taken on these objections as follows -

(i) Sanction of the Deputy Commissioner for the journeys may now be accorded according to rule 27 B of M A M after verifying from the court whether the official attended the court as a witness or complainant on the several days, whether his visits to assist the lawyer or conduct the cases himself on all the dates of hearing was necessary and whether there was necessity for the frequent journeys between Kunigal and Tumkur from the 12th to 29th April 38

WD 1140—GPB—50 000—5-11-42

4461/L

File No | of 194 | Comptroller's Office

Serial No

(ii) The Municipal Council has fixed certain rates of T A to be allowed to the officials of the Municipality including the Sanitary Inspector for their journeys If T A should now be allowed to the Sanitary Inspector according to the rules in M S R it is desirable that the matter is again placed before the Council and got approved or the views of the Council are ascertained in the matter There is however no audit objection for payment of T A to the Sanitary Inspector according to the rules in M S R

(iii) Details of calculation for the sum of Rs 228-3-6 shown by the Deputy Commissioner as due to the official according to the rules in M S R are not available in the file They may be kindly arranged to be furnished or they will be verified during the next local audit if it is decided that the balance ~~is~~ still due according to the rules in M S R should be paid to the Sanitary Inspector

M Visvaraja Iyer
Comptroller
8/10/43

17) Subs ~~to~~ to orders whether the DC may be asked to sanction the journeys ~~incurred~~ by the Offr after satisfying himself as to the bona fides of the claim and whether formal resolution of the Mn Cl and details of calculation be also called for as suggested by the Comptroller
13/3

18) Action will be taken as suggested by the Comptroller above & the D.C Tuticorin ~~might~~ will be written to

13-3 43
Law

13/3/43

11041/6343

L.1161/TA of Sanitary Inspectors Kurigal

Comptroller's Office — Ext File No 27 of 1942

Serial No

May be done according to Govt Order No 2 S G dtd 16-3-43

FOR L S G & P H
Date 16 MAR 1943
No. 7631

Govt Order No 2 S G dtd 16-3-43

Govt Order No 4 dtd 20-3-43

Govt Order No 5 dtd 22-3-43

Draft letter sent for approval

TV SECTION
20 MAR 1943
GS & PH SECTT

27/3/43

To the Director of Panchayats

Letter No. L. 9713/43 dtd 30-3-43 forwarding the proposal of the T A claims of the Sanitary Inspectors

Copy to Comptroller

NOTE—Contn Sheet

C F No 45]

29537/L

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Pol 27-42-9

Telegram
Telephone

OFFICE OF
The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur,

No A6.C.3889/40-41.
Encls 1 only

Date 10th November 1942.

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq B A.B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir

Re Audit objection raised in respect of Travelling Allowance drawn by the Sanitary Inspector, Kunigal, in connection with the several octroi cases filed by the Municipality, on the file of the Second Magistrate's Court, Tumkur

In forwarding herewith in original, the connected records received from the President, Town Municipal Council, Kunigal, on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows

The President states that the levyof octroi duty on piece-goods in this town Municipality was being enforced and collected on strength of a Government order of 1905, issued prior to the promulgation of the Municipal Regulation All of a sudden it is stated that some of the merchants of the town protested against this levy as there were no sanctioned by-laws in this behalf The Council had no other alternative except to prosecute such offenders under Secion 84(2) of the Town Municipality Act of 1933 Accordingly a number of cases were filed in the Court of the Second Class Magistrate, Tumkur and some cases were taken as test cases, of which some were favourable and others adverse to the Municipality The remaining few cases were withdrawn, as the Government order could not be upheld as having the force of law in the absence of by-laws which was not then framed by the Municipal Council and sanctioned by Government either under the Municipal Regulation of 1906 or the Town Municipality Act of 1933 The Council have since framed the by-laws in this behalf and this has been sanctioned by Government.

It is seen from the records that 42 octroi cases were filed and journeys performed by the Sanitary Inspector from Kunigal to Tumkur to attend the Court on the days to which these cases were posted from time to time From the extract of the audit notes of this town Muni-

Municipality for the year 1937-38, it is seen that the Assistant Comptroller has observed that the Sanitary Inspector, has drawn Travelling Allowance to the extent of Rs 214-15-6 for which neither the Court Certificate nor sanction of the Deputy Commissioner is forthcoming for the said journys performed by the Sanitary Inspector and as such the expenditure incurred should be disallowed The Comptroller requires that this omission will have to be supplied or the amount to be recovered and credited.

The President states that on verification, it is seen that the Sanitary Inspector has performed 35 journeys and drawn Travelling Allowance of Rs 214-15-6, of which 8 journeys involving Travelling Allowance of Rs 49-4-0 may be deemed as authorised as they are covered by written authority and the rest involving Rs 165-11-6 ~~xxxxxxxx~~ ~~xxxxx~~ are not authorised and as such the amount has to be recovered from the Sanitary Inspector since there is nothing on record to show that they were authorised journeys.

The Sanitary Inspector has stated in his explanation that the then Amildar-President Mr Syed Ghouse Mohiddin had permitted him to attend the Court and draw the Travelling Allowance now objected to in accordance with the resolution of the Municipal Council, dated 1-10-1937 (Flag A)

The entire file was sent to this Officer who is now the Sub Division Officer, at Tarikere for his remarks as towhether he had given oral instructions to the Sanitary Inspector, as stated by him in his explanation and to testify each journey performed by him (sanitary Inspector) The Sub Division Officer, Tarikere has stated that he had permitted the Sanitary and other official witnesses to attend to the Court on the days of the hearing in connection with the said cases and ~~in~~ the court had refused to issue certificates of attendance to these witnesses and hencein the interest of the successful prosecutionof these cases, the Sanitary Inspector who was the complainant in all these cases had to be permitted to attend the Court on the days of hearing The Sub Division Officer states that these journeys have been scrutinised by him before passing of the Travelling Allowance bills in question and that the Municipal Council have endorsed this action

In view of the then Amildar-President's report that he had permitted the Sanitary Inspector to attend the Court on the days for which journeys have been performed and passed his Travelling Allowance bills (in respect of those journeys) and also in view of the delegation of powers to the President by the Municipal Council, in accordance with their resolution dated 1-10-1937, the audit objection will have to be waived.

The Audit notes of this town municipality for the year 1939-40 discloses that the Sanitary Inspector has drawn Travelling Allowance of Rs 25-4-0 (rupees twenty-five and annas 4 only) in excess as seen from the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 30-9-1938 wherein certain rates of Travelling Allowance were allowed to the Sanitary Inspector and the staff since they had to attend the Cour very often and as such this excess amount of Rs 25-4-0 drawn by the Sanitary Inspector will have to be made good by the official.

In view of the full facts of the case explained above, I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the waiving of the audit objection to the Travelling Allowance of Rs 189-11-6 drawn by him and to the recovery of Rs 25-4-0 from him since drawn in excess, as the amount held under objection is Rs 214-15-6.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section M
File No 27-42
Serial No 14

Diary No of letter replied to 2955

No L 5367
Records

To The Dy Coll,
Tenkasi

Subject - Re audit objections
raised in respect of 7 A
drawn by the Sanitary Supply
Tenkasi, in connection with
the above cases forwarded
by the Tenkasi Town Mly
for,

With reference to
your letter No A & B 38589/
40-41 dt 10th Nov 42 on
the above subject, I am
directed to observe that

Date of issue 27 11 42

Signed by Asst G R Rao

having regard to the
re the Madras general permission
dated 1/10/37 giving the Vary-
inspector to attend the Court
at Tenkasi to conduct the
above cases of the statement of the
Treasurer of the Municipal Council
that he authorised the
S I as complainant - in all those
cases to attend the Court that
behalf
the purpose of that the said
per endorsed on this memo
the journeys are quoted
have to be considered
as authorised.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 086—GPB—40 000—30 7 40

In regard to the Scales of
T.A allowed to the sanitary Inspector, who is an Official
it has been authorised by Local
by the Mul Council at their meeting
held on 30th Sept 938, whereas
the rates should be in accordance
with those prescribed under the provisions of
the M S A, as he is a Local Govt Official
and it has been claimed at the latter rate
and admitted in the case of
eight journeys in which
he has drawn Rs 49-4-0 in all
I am therefore to request you
to furnish information as to
which he would be entitled to
for all the journeys according to the
provisions of the M S A

I am also to enquire if the
Adroit Mukaddam and the Municipal
peons were also paid at the rates
fixed in the resolution of the Mul Council
of 30th Sept 938 the records accompany
for reference and return

23/11/42

4461/2

No A6 C 3889/40-41

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Dated, Tumkur, 25th January 1943

From



P Suryanarayana Setti, Esq B A LL B ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur

Ml 77-42-

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq B A B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Re Audit objection raised in respect of T A drawn by the Sanitary Inspector, Kunigal in connection with the octroi cases filed by the Kunigal Town Municipality

===0===

With reference to your letter No L 5367/Ml 77-42-14 dated 27th November 1942 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith the connected records received from the President, Town Municipal Council, Kunigal and to state as follows -

The President states that according to the ~~rules~~ rates prescribed under the provisions of the Mysore Service Regulations, the Sanitary Inspector would be entitled to for all the journeys for a sum of Rs 228-3-6 and that he has been paid only a sum of Rs 214-15-6 The balance to be paid would be Rs 16-4-0 He further states that the Octroi Mutsaddi and peons have also been paid at the rates fixed in the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 30th September 1938

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P Suryanarayana Setti
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

KRL 25/1

No. H e 57/41-42
Encl One file

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
Kunigal Dated 19 —1— 1943

From

THE PRESIDENT
TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
KUNIGAL

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
Tumkur

JAN 1943
A 6
CENTRAL LIST

ಕಳ್ಳ ಸ್ಮಾರಕದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ 2—12—1942ರೀಸಲ್ಲು A6 e 3889/41-42ನೆ
ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಅಕ್ಷರಶಃ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು, ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ನೀಡಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು
ಈ ಅಧ್ಯಾದೇಶದ ಅನ್ವಯ ಅಳವಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು A-ಫಾರಂಗಳನ್ನು M S Regulation ಪುಟ 228-3-6 ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಮತ್ತು, ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ 214-15-6 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

30 9 1938ರೀಸಲ್ಲು ಸಾರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪತ್ರ 16—4— ರಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾಡಿರುವ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಡಲು ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ
ಈ ಅನುಬಂಧದ Early Allowance 3—0—0 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು 13—4—0 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗೆ
ಏರಿಸಲು ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಅನುಮತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಆದರೆ ಪತ್ರ 1938ರೀಸಲ್ಲು ತಿದ್ದಲು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ
ಸಂ 62—ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಪತ್ರದ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
ಬಂದಾಗಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 36—ರ ನಿರ್ಣಯದ ಅನ್ವಯ
ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಡಚಣೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯ ಅನ್ವಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದಂತೆ 30-9-1938ರೀಸಲ್ಲು
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪತ್ರ 16—4— ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು
ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. A ಫಾರಂಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

Yours obedient servant
President
Town Municipal Council
Kunigal

[redacted] November 1942 from the Law Commissioner, Tumkur District.

[redacted] objection raised in respect of T.A.Drawn by the Sanitary Inspector, Kunigal in connection with the octroi cases filed by the Kunigal Town Municipality.

With reference to your letter No A6 C.3889/40-41 dated 10th November 1942 on the above subject, I am diredted to observe that having regard to the resolution of the Municipal council dated 1st October 1937 giving general permission to the Sanitary Inspector to attend the Court at Tumkur to conduct the octroi Cases and the statement of the then President of the Kunigal Town Municipal council that he had authorised the Sanitary Inspector as complanant in all those cases to attend the court and that he has scrutinised the T.A Bills before payment and that the Municipal council has endorsed his actionx also, the journeys in question have to be considered kexkx as authorised

In regard to the scales of T A allowed to the Sanitary Inspector it has been arbitararily fixed by the Municipal council at their meeting held on 30th September 1938, whereas the rates should be in accordance with those prescribed under the provisions of the Mysore Service Regulations, as he is a lent Government official and it has been claimed at the latter rate and admitted in the case of eight journeys in which he has drawn Rs 49-4-0 in all I am, therefore, to request you to furnish information as to what he would be entitled to for all these journeys, according to the provisions of the Mysore Service Regulations

I am also to enquire if the xxix octroi Mutsaddi and the Municipal peons were also paid at the rates fixed in the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 30th September 1938 The records accompany for reference and retransmission

======

Memo No A6 C 3889/40-41 Dated 2nd December 1942

Copy of the above together with the connected records is forwarded to the President, Town Municipal council, Kunigal for furnishing the particular called for very early

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT Audit objection to the payment of T A to the Sanitary Inspector, Kanjirapally etc

Section 11C
File No 27-42
Serial No 24

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 9713

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

To

The D.C Inspector
Kanjirapally.

Sir,

Date of issue 30/3

Signed by

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C 3889/40-1 dated 25.1.43, ~~on the above~~, I am directed to state that the Comptroller and Auditor General was consulted in the matter of the audit objections raised in the audit Inspection note on the accounts of the Kanjirapally Municipality for 1937-38 to 39-40 in regard to the above subject and he has intimated as follows —

that the several objections raised in the Inspection Audit note on the accounts of the Municipality for 1937-38 to 39-40 in the payment of T A Rs 214-15-6 to the Sanitary Inspector have not been sanctioned by the Audit Comm as required

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

in Rule 27 B of M A-H of the journeys performed by him from Kemsal to Ivanken and back, were beyond the bounds of the Municipality

(b) the receipt certificate were not produced ✓

(c) the journeys were frequent & hence A Surveyor could have been benefitted if he had stayed at Ivanken from the 12th to 29th April 38, instead of journeying to & fro between Kemsal and Ivanken between these dates

(d) the Secretary has forwarded a Survey fee 28 2-0. towards T A in excess of the amount fixed by the Municipal Council on 30 9. 38.

He suggests that the D.C may accord sanction for the journeys performed as per rule 27 B of M A.H after verifying from the Court whether the official attended the Court as a witness or complainant on the several days, whether his visits to assist the Lawyer in conducting the case himself on all the dates were necessary and whether there was necessity for the frequent journeys between Kemsal & Ivanken between the 12th to 29th April 38

2 I am to request you to take action accordingly and to intimate the calculated amount of the T A. to be drawn by the official in accordance with the Municipal Council and have the details for the amount drawn duly audited by the next local audit, as shown due to the said family difficulties

Received $ 3/14 Dec/38

Copy w/c to the Comptroller to get the sanction under his M O note No 50/91 of 12.3.43

for Secretary

3 The records are enclosed

for Secretary

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 9713/Rel 27 42 29 W/R

Dated Bangalore, 30th March 1943.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

SUBJECT. Audit objection to the payment of travelling allowance to the Sanitary Inspector, Kunigal &c.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. A6.C.3889/40-41 dated 25th January 1941, I am directed to state that the Comptroller to Government who was consulted in the matter states that the several objections raised in the Audit and Inspection Note in the accounts of the Kunigal Town Municipality for 1937-38 to 1939-40 in regard to the above subject are as follows:-

a) The payment of travelling allowance of Rs.214-15-6 to the Sanitary Inspector has not been sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner as required in Rule 27B of ~~Mysore~~ Accounts Manual as the journey performed by him from Kunigal to Tumkur and back, were beyond the limits of the Municipality;

b) The ~~correct~~ Court certificates were not produced,

c) The journeys were frequent. A sum of Rs.36-8-0 could have been sanctioned if he had stayed at Tumkur from the 12th to 29th April 1938, instead of journeying ten times between Kunigal and Tumkur between these dates,

d) The Sanitary Inspector has drawn a sum of Rs.28-2-0 towards travelling allowance in excess of the amount fixed by the Municipal Council on 30th September 1938.

He suggests that the Deputy Commissioner may accord sanction to the ~~journey~~ aforesaid journeys now as per rule 27B of ~~Mysore~~ Accounts Manual after verifying from the court whether the official attended the court as a witness or a complainant on the several days, whether his visits to assist the lawyer or conduct the cases himself on all the dates of hearing was necessary and whether there was necessity for the frequent journeys between Kunigal and Tumkur from the 12th to 29th April 1938.

2. I am to request you to take action accordingly and to order the disbursement of the difference of the travelling allowance to the official ~~and~~ calculated according to the rules prescribed under the Mysore Service Regulations in consultation with the Kunigal Town

Municipal Council and also have the details of calculation for for the amount disbursed audited during the next local audit, as such details for the sum of Rs.228-3-6 shown as due to the said Sanitary Inspector according to the rules in Mysore Service Regulations are not available in the file of records received from you.

3. The records accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd. C. Narayanaswamy Naidu,

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Memo No. L 9714/Spl 27-42 & 30 3 43

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Comptroller to Government, for information, with reference to his unofficial note No. 507/Spl dated 13th March 1943.

By Order,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.